

## Keeping Pace

*A succinct perspective on building & sustaining competitive advantage as conditions accelerate*

Competitive conditions are evolving faster than they once did, and the effect is felt less in what is happening than in how quickly it begins to matter. Decisions carry consequence sooner. Assumptions do not hold for as long. The distance between internal movement and external response has narrowed in ways that leave less room to adjust quietly.

What makes this difficult is not simply the increase in pace. It is that many organizations continue to operate as if that pace has not fundamentally changed. Decisions are revisited when they should be resolved. Priorities accumulate rather than being constrained. Execution continues even when direction is not fully settled. From the inside, this can still feel like progress. Over time, it becomes harder to sustain any real separation.

The pressure does not always present in the same way. In some environments it shows up as pace. In others, it appears as competing expectations, expanding priorities, or increasing complexity. Here, it is easiest to see through the lens of pace.

---

## Where Pace Begins to Show

As conditions accelerate, strain tends to appear first in how decisions are handled. What previously felt like prudent refinement can begin to introduce delay, particularly when the environment is shifting faster than the organization's ability to settle direction. The result is not inaction, but movement that is no longer fully anchored.

In that context, the distinction becomes difficult to ignore:

**Are decisions being resolved quickly enough to keep direction intact, or are they being revisited as the context continues to change around them?**

---

## When Priorities Start to Stretch

Faster conditions rarely lead to fewer priorities. They tend to produce more of them. New initiatives are introduced, existing commitments remain, and very little is explicitly removed. Over time, the organization becomes more active without becoming more focused, and it becomes harder for any single direction to carry enough weight to strengthen position.



**TOM MAWHINNEY**

This is usually where the issue starts to surface:

**Are priorities being deliberately constrained as conditions change, or are they continuing to expand in ways that dilute their impact?**

---

## Where Execution Begins to Drift

Execution often accelerates alongside pace, which can create a sense of momentum. The difficulty is that activity can increase without improving coherence. Work continues, but it becomes less clear whether it is reinforcing what matters most or simply advancing alongside other efforts.

At that point, the question shifts slightly:

**Is execution clearly reinforcing a defined direction, or continuing to move forward while alignment remains unsettled?**

---

## When Movement Stops Reinforcing Itself

At a certain point, the issue is no longer pace on its own. It becomes a question of whether the organization's movement still holds together. Adjustments in direction, changes in priority, and shifts in execution are happening continuously. When they reinforce one another, progress builds. When they do not, effort accumulates without strengthening position.

This is where the pattern becomes harder to ignore:

**As conditions shift, are leadership, strategic focus, and technological capability reinforcing one another—or beginning to drift apart?**

---

## What It Takes to Keep Pace

Building and sustaining competitive advantage under these conditions depends less on how quickly the organization moves and more on whether it can operate at the level required to keep pace. That depends on the strength of leadership capability, the discipline of strategic focus, and the extent to which technology is applied in a way that reinforces direction rather than fragments it.



**TOM MAWHINNEY**

Where those elements hold together, pace becomes manageable. Where they do not, pace exposes the limits of how the organization is currently operating.

**Are we keeping pace—or are we continuing to move while the environment moves faster than we do?**

---

## Closing

In some cases, the difference becomes clear almost immediately. In others, it takes a closer look to see where movement is still building position and where it has started to come apart.

The answers to these questions tend to make that distinction visible. What is less obvious is how to work through it once it is.

In practice, this is rarely isolated to pace alone. The same pattern tends to surface in different forms, whether through competing expectations, expanding activity, or increasing complexity. What determines the outcome is not the pressure itself, but whether leadership, strategic focus, and technological capability are operating at the level required and reinforcing one another as conditions continue to accelerate.



**TOM MAWHINNEY**